Intranasal Midazolam May Prevent Gagging Reflex: a Case Report

Meral Arslan Malkoc1, Necla Demir2, Zehra Ileri3, Aybuke Erdur3, Seza Apiliogullari4

1Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, İnönü University, Malatya, Turkey.
2Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Selçuk University, Konya, Turkey.
3Department of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Selçuk University, Konya, Turkey.
4Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care, Faculty of Medicine, Selçuk University, Konya, Turkey.

Corresponding Author:
Zehra Ileri
Selçuk University, Faculty of Dentistry, Department of Orthodontics
42075 Konya
Turkey
Phone: +90 332 223 11 63
Fax: +90 332 241 00 62
E-mail: zehra.ileri@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Gagging can become a conditioned response that makes dental treatment difficult or impossible for both the individual and the dentist. The aim of this study is to report a 50 year old female and two children (15 and 11 years old) with exaggerated gag reflex referred to Faculty of Dentistry of Selçuk University.

Methods: During obtaining records, taking impression procedure was elicited the patients’ hypersensitive gagging reflex. Therefore, it was decided to administer intranasal midazolam to facilitate the taking of accurate dental impressions. After given verbal information about the procedure, 2.5 mg intranasal midazolam (Demizolam, Dem Medikal, Istanbul) were applied incrementally in both nostrils.

Results: Five minutes later; patients had no gagging reflex and allowed the clinician to take satisfying impressions. No serious adverse effects were observed and the patients remained cooperative during the entire procedure which was completed to the full satisfaction of all involved. The short absorption time and the fast relief process of anaesthetics transferred to the systemic circulatory system are important advantages of nasal application.

Conclusions: Intranasal midazolam may be very useful for the treatment of gag reflex probably effecting on the depression of upper airway reflex sensitivity.
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INTRODUCTION

The gag reflex is a physiological response which safeguards the airway from foreign bodies. The aetiology of gagging is complex and not fully understood. Gagging can become a conditioned response that makes dental treatment difficult or impossible for both the individual and the dentist. It can result in the avoidance of dental care [1].

Clinicians successfully treat many patients with mild gagging problems using only minor procedural modifications or behaviour techniques. Generally, the ultimate goal for patients is to make routine dental care possible by helping them ‘unlearn’ the behaviour that leads to gagging [2]. Therefore, strategies to overcome the gag reflex in treatment have focused on behaviour modification using systemic desensitization and distraction methods [3]. Some patients suffered from such severe gagging that behaviour techniques did not sufficiently reduce gagging in dentistry. In these patients pharmacological management (sedatives [propofol, midazolam, nitrous oxide e.g.], antihistamine, or parasympathetic depressants) was thought to be the last alternative to eliminate the reflex [4-6].

We present three cases which describe the rehabilitation of a female and two paediatric patients who have exaggerated gag reflex requiring prosthetic and orthodontic procedures with the help of intranasal midazolam. This effect may focus on the inhibitory effect on gagging reflex.

CASE DESCRIPTION AND RESULTS

Case 1

A 50 year old, 65 kg female patient was referred to the Prosthetic Department of Selçuk University for rehabilitation of their oral function and aesthetic. She had malformed anterior teeth in the maxilla and left first molar present in the mandible. She had deeply resorbed alveolar ridges. The treatment was planned as providing partial removable dentures retained with fixed partial dentures. She had hypersensitive gag reflex during taking impression. Intranasal midazolam 2.5 mg (Demizolam, Dem Medikal, Istanbul) was employed to facilitate the taking of accurate dental impressions without gagging during the whole procedure by the anaesthesiologist. The patient was given verbal information about the procedure at an assessment visit prior to the impression appointment. The patient had no gag reflex and allowed the dentist to apply the impression tray accurately in the mouth.

The duration of procedure was 3 minutes. The patient was controlled after sedation for 45 minutes before she was discharged. In addition, planning for “horse-shoe” palatal connector reduced palatal coverage area, thereby, providing less interference for tongue and reduced gag reflex (Figure 1 and 2).

Case 2

A 15 year old, 56 kg child patient was referred to the Prosthetic Department of Selçuk University. Patient was suffering from chewing dysfunction resulting from maxillary tooth agenesis and deficiency. The definitive treatment plan included fabrication of a partial maxillary removable denture. He did not allow the dentist to put the tray into his mouth and this encouraged us to administer midazolam intranasally for its inhibitory effect on gagging. His parent was also given verbal information about the procedure. Intranasal midazolam 2.5 mg (Demizolam, Dem Medikal, Istanbul) were applied incrementally in both nostrils. Patient’s behaviour was excellent during the impression phase. Patient was able to overcome his gagging reflex and completed the treatment by the help of midazolam.

Case 3

An 11 year old, 45 kg child patient was referred to
the Orthodontic Department of Selçuk University. It was learnt from the medical history of the patient that he used regular medication for hyperactivity. In extraoral clinical examination, it was observed that the patient had a skeletal Class III malocclusion and concave profile. In the intraoral and radiographic examination, it was detected that the patient was in mixed dentition stage and Angle class II molar relationship on both sides. According to the facial midline, the maxillary midline was 1 mm on the left and the mandibular midline was 1 mm on the right. Overjet was 2 mm and overbite was 2.5 mm. The orthodontic treatment plan included non-extraction treatment after using face mask.

During obtaining initial records, taking impression procedure was elicited patient’s hypersensitive gagging reflex. Therefore, it was decided to administer intranasal midazolam in advantage to take precise dental impressions without gagging during the whole procedure. After patient’s parents were given verbal information about the procedure, 2.5 mg intranasal midazolam (Demizolam, Dem Medikal, Istanbul) were applied incrementally in both nostrils. Five minutes later, the patient felt himself relaxed and the level of sedation was sufficient to take dental impression. We experienced the benefit of intranasal midazolam to facilitate taking precise dental impressions in problematic gagging patients intolerable to dental therapy. All patients suffered moderate burning in the nostril after delivery of intranasal midazolam (Figure 3 and 4).

**DISCUSSION**

Retentive denture prosthesis is a major factor in achieving a successful result when providing partial removable dentures. The best results are obtained when the prosthesis bases contain all anatomic landmarks in the upper and lower arches. This is really complicated when the patients’ anamnensis tells us the difficulty with the impression phase of treatment due to a hypersensitive gag reflex. Intranasal midazolam may be safely used to facilitate the taking of dental impressions in these patients. In the aetiology of gagging, the factors involved in its development are divided into psychological

![Figure 3. Initial extraoral and intraoral photographs of patient 3.](http://www.ejomr.org/JOMR/archives/2013/3/e5/v4n3e5ht.htm)
and somatogenic [7,8]. Anatomical abnormalities or neural hypersensitivity in the oropharynx have been identified as somatogenic factors in the development of the gag reflex [7]. In a previous study by Satta et al. [9], it was reported that psychological factors were identified in all patients with strong anxiety indicated as the probable cause of the gag reflex. However, same authors were stated that the gag reflex was caused by touching the oral mucosa in most of patients with some difference in degree of severity. In our patients, a pre-treatment oral examination was not performed to determine gagging severity but a hypersensitive gag reflex was developed during taking impression in all patients. However it may not be easy to distinguish between two aetiological factors because physical stimuli may still provoke gagging of psychogenic origin [7].

A number of techniques for reduction of gagging have been suggested, including distraction of patient’s attention from the dental procedure, relaxation, hypnosis, acupuncture, drugs and general anaesthesia (GA). GA is also conducted in a patient with extremely problematic gagging who is intolerable to dental therapy under intravenous sedation. The advantage of GA is complete elimination of the reflex, but costs should be considered in comparison to the benefit of dental care [10]. Previous case reports also showed the adjustment to dental care [3,11]. As another alternative, inhalation sedation using nitrous oxide is the most common method of delivery of conscious sedation in dentistry. Nitrous oxide inhalation sedation was shown to be useful for control of the gagging reflex [11]. Nitrous oxide is a commonly used pharmacologic agent but has the main disadvantage in especially children of being a nasal inhalation agent [12]. One of the popular medications available to the dentist for use in children is midazolam. Midazolam is a short-acting benzodiazepine with a short half-life, in children, of two hours compared to 18 hours for diazepam. Midazolam has been used for preoperative sedation by the intramuscular, rectal, oral and nasal routes [13]. The injections are painful and injection procedure is the most common fear of the children. The anaesthetics used orally and rectally start to relieve symptoms in a longer time. The recovery is a slow process after oral medication. Children are prone to vomit or spit out the anaesthetics given orally [14,15]. This technique has advantages when compared with oral administration as the bioavailability of intranasal administered midazolam is approximately 55%, compared with 15% when administered orally [16,17]. The rate of onset and recovery are more rapid, but intranasal burning is the main disadvantage of the nasal application of midazolam [18].

The nasal anaesthesia is popular as a useful and safe way. The short absorption time and the fast relief process of anaesthetics transferred to the systemic circulatory system are important advantages of nasal anaesthesia. Our patients’ gagging behaviours was modified with the use of intranasal midazolam. No serious adverse effects were observed and the patients remained cooperated in the impression phase as in the beginning of the treatment; they had no gagging during the entire procedure which was completed to the full satisfaction of all involved. These three cases showed that intranasal midazolam could be very effective treatments in exaggerated gag reflex in paediatric and adult patients.

For some patients, however, severe gagging can be elicited by the dentist’s fingers or instruments contacting the oral mucosa or even by nontactile stimuli, for example, patients seeing the dentist or remembering a previous dental experience [7]. Taking impression becomes a very challenging procedure for
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